IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.32103-M of 2007
Date of Decision:- 02.08.2007

Salwinder Singh ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate

vs.

State of Punjab & ors. ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the dasti notices and as per the report made thereupon, respondent No.2 has not been found residing at the given address and he has allegedly left to an unknown place after disposing of his property.

The prayer in this petition is to release the petitioner on regular bail in a private complaint under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Gurdaspur.

It appears that the petitioner and his co-accused, upon being summoned, appeared before the learned trial Court and furnished the bail bonds. They, however, remained absconded for a long period of almost four years till they were arrested on 15.1.2007 and 12.1.2007 respectively.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and having

regard to the period already spent by the petitioner in custody and also keeping in view the fact that the disposal of the subject complaint may take considerably long period, this petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur, who shall ensure that the petitioner furnishes adequate bail bonds along with two sureties in the like amount so that there is no likelihood of his absconding in future.

August 02, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**